PTO/SB/17 (10-07)

Approved for use through 06/30/2010. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE flow Act of 1995, no person are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Under the Paperv

Effective on 12/08/2004. Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818). FEE TRANSMITTAL				Complete if Known				
				Application Number 1		10/567,831-Conf. #2106		
				Filing Date F		February 10, 2006		
For FY 2008					First Named Inventor Andrea Turrini			
F01 F1 2006				Examiner Name R. M. F		R. M. Punnoos	M. Punnoose	
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27				Art Unit 28		2877		
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 1,740.00			Attorney Docket	No.	M1885.0056/P	11885.0056/P056		
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)								
Check X Credit Card Money Order Other (please identify):								
x Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 04-1073 Deposit Account Name: Dickstein Shapiro LLP .								
For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)								
Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee								
Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17								
FEE CALCULATION								
1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES								
	FI	LING FEES Small Entity	SE	ARCH FEES Small Entity		NATION FEES Small Entity		
Application Type	oe <u>Fee (</u> \$		Fee (\$		Fee (\$)		Fees P	aid (\$)
Utility	310	155	510	255	210	105		
Design	210	105	100	50	130	65		
Plant	210	105	310	155	160	80		
Reissue	310	155	510	255	620	310		
Provisional	210	105	0	0	0	0		
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES Small Entity								
<u>Fee Description</u>							<u>Fee (\$)</u>	<u>Fee (\$)</u>
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)							50	25
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)							200	100
Multiple depende				360	180			
				Paid (\$) Multiple Deper				
HB = highest number of total claims paid for if greater than 20			<u>Fee (\$)</u>			Fee Paid (\$)		
HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20. Indep. Claims								
2 -3= x =								
HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.								
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE								
If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$260 (\$130 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).								
Total Sheets							Fee Paid (\$)	
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)								
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 1501 Utility issue fee 1,440.00								
1504 Publication fee for early, voluntary, or normal 300.00								
SUBMITTED BY				Designation Ma				
Signature	19	3		Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	28,371	Telephone	(202) 420	0-2232
Name (Print/Type)	Thomas J. D'Ami	со				Date	February 1	9, 2008

-Docket No.: M1885.0056/P056 (PATENT)

FEB 19 2008

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

in re Patent Application of:

Andrea Turrini

Allowed: November 30, 2007

Application No.: 10/567,831

Confirmation No.: 2106

Filed: February 10, 2006

Art Unit: 2877

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR

CHECKING THE POSITION OF A MECHANICAL PART WITH A LIGHT

BEAM

Examiner: R. M. Punnoose

APPLICANT'S COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant has reviewed the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance and wishes to submit the following remarks. The Statement paraphrases several limitations from independent claim 1 as reasons for allowance of independent claims 1, 11 and 14. Applicants wish to point out that independent method claim 1 contains other limitations which, in combination with the limitations paraphrased in the Statement, render claim 1 allowable. Moreover, the scope of independent claims 11 (method claim) and 14 (apparatus claim) is based on the actual language of the claims. In addition, dependent claims 2-10 and 12 recite additional limitations which, in combination with their base claims, render the dependent claims allowable.

Application No.: 10/567,831 Docket No.: M1885.0056/P056

Otherwise, Applicants agree with the Statement that the allowed claims distinguish over the prior art.

Dated: February 19, 2008

Respectfully submitted)

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371

Peter A. Veytsman

Registration No.: 45,920 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006-5403

(202) 420-2200

Attorney for Applicant